

Amenable groups that act on the line

Dave Witte Morris

University of Lethbridge, Alberta, Canada
<http://people.uleth.ca/~dave.morris@uleth.ca>
Dave.Morris@uleth.ca

Geometry and Algebra of Solvable Groups
Universität Karlsruhe
October 16 - 20, 2006

Thanks to Étienne Ghys, Uri Bader, and Alex Furman

Introduction

Transformation groups

- $G =$ group (countable, discrete, nontrivial)
- $X =$ topological space

Does G have a (nontrivial, C^0) action on X ?

Assume

- $X = \mathbb{R}$
- actions are orientation preserving.

Remark

actions on $\mathbb{R} \leftrightarrow$ actions on S^1

Observation

- \mathbb{Z} acts on \mathbb{R} by translation ($x \mapsto x + n$)
- $G \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \implies G$ acts on \mathbb{R}

Converse?

30 years ago:

- ¿converse true for finitely generated groups?
- G acts on \mathbb{R} (and f.g.) $\stackrel{?}{\implies} G \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$.

Counterexample

Thompson's group on S^1 (lift to universal cover)

Converse true for some classes of groups

G acts on \mathbb{R} (and f.g.) $\implies G \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$:

- polycyclic (Rhemtulla, 1981)
- solvable-by-finite (Chiswell-Kropholler, 1993)
- supramenable (Kropholler, 1993)
- elementary amenable (Linnell, 1999)

Peter Linnell (2001): **amenable?**

Theorem (2006)

- G f.g., amenable
- G acts on \mathbb{R}

$\implies G \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$

Corollary

- G f.g., amenable
- G acts faithfully on \mathbb{R}

\implies every f.g. subgroup of $G \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ maps onto \mathbb{Z}

Converse (Conrad, 1959)

Every f.g. subgroup of G maps onto \mathbb{Z}
 $\implies G$ acts faithfully on \mathbb{R}

Remark

Conrad's Thm. does **not** assume G is amenable

Proof of the Theorem

Assume

- G is amenable
- G acts faithfully on \mathbb{R}
- G is finitely generated

We will show $G \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$.

- 1 G has a left-invariant order.
- 2 Ghys: G acts on the space of left-inv't orders.
- 3 Amenability: $\exists G$ -invariant measure.
- 4 Poincaré Recurrence: there is a recurrent order.
- 5 Known: G has a recurrent order $\implies G \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$.

Step 1: G has a left-invariant order

Assume: G amenable, f.g., acts faithfully on \mathbb{R}

Proposition

G acts faithfully on \mathbb{R}

$\Rightarrow G$ has a left-invariant order:

- $<$ is a total order on G
- $a < b \Rightarrow ca < cb, \quad \forall a, b, c \in G$

Proof.

Define $a < b$ if $a(0) < b(0)$. (can break ties)

$c(a(0)) < c(b(0))$ bcs c preserves orientation. \square

Step 2: idea of Étienne Ghys

We know: G has a left-invariant order

Definition

$\mathcal{O} = \{\text{left-invariant orders on } G\} \neq \emptyset$.

Proposition

- $\mathcal{O} \subset 2^{G \times G}$ is compact (& Hausdorff)
- G acts on \mathcal{O} by right translation:

$$a <_g b \iff ag^{-1} < bg^{-1}$$

This is an action by homeomorphisms.

Step 3: amenability

We know: G acts continuously on \mathcal{O}

Definition

- μ a **probability measure** on X : $\mu(X) = 1$
- G **amenable**:
 G acts on compact Hausdorff X
 $\Rightarrow \exists G$ -invariant probability meas on X .

Corollary

$\exists G$ -invariant probability measure on \mathcal{O} .

Step 4: Poincaré Recurrence Theorem

We know: $\exists G$ -inv't prob meas on \mathcal{O}

Theorem

- μ prob. meas. on 2nd countable metric space X
- T measure-preserving homeo of X

\Rightarrow a.e. point of X is recurrent for T :
 \forall open $U \ni x, \exists n_k \rightarrow \infty, T^{n_k}(x) \in U$

Corollary

$\forall g \in G$, a.e. $<$ is recurrent for g :

$$a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_r \\ \Rightarrow a_1 g^n < a_2 g^n < \dots < a_r g^n, \quad \exists n \rightarrow \infty$$

Corollary

$\forall g \in G$, a.e. $<$ is recurrent for g :

$$a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_r \\ \Rightarrow a_1 g^n < a_2 g^n < \dots < a_r g^n, \quad \exists n \rightarrow \infty$$

Corollary

a.e. $<$ is recurrent for every element of G .

Proof.

G is countable (f.g.).

Union of countably many sets of measure 0 still has measure 0. \square

Step 5: recurrent order $\Rightarrow G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$

Theorem (Conrad, 1959)

G has a **recurrent order** (and f.g.) $\Rightarrow G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$

Definition

$<$ is **recurrent**:

$$a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_r \\ \Rightarrow a_1 g^n < a_2 g^n < \dots < a_r g^n, \quad \exists n \rightarrow \infty$$

Definition

$<$ is **bi-invariant**:

$$a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_r \\ \Rightarrow a_1 g^n < a_2 g^n < \dots < a_r g^n, \quad \forall ng$$

Proposition (Conrad, 1959)

G has *archimedean* order

$$(\forall a, b \succ e, \exists n \in \mathbb{Z}^+, a^n \succ b) \\ \Rightarrow G \text{ abelian}$$

Remark

Archimedean and f.g. $\Rightarrow G \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$

Proof.

Fix $a \succ e$. Define $\varphi(g) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log_a g^n}{n}$,

where $\log_a g = m$ means $a^m \leq g < a^{m+1}$.

φ is a homomorphism $G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. \square

Proposition

G has a bi-invariant order (and f.g.) $\Rightarrow G \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$

Lemma

$$a_1, \dots, a_r \leq g \Rightarrow a_1 a_2 \cdots a_r \leq g^r$$

Corollary

$B = \langle \text{bounded subgroups of } G \rangle$ is bounded, normal.

Proof.

$$\langle a_i \rangle < g \Rightarrow \langle a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r \rangle \leq \langle \max a_i \rangle < g. \quad \square$$

Proof of Proposition.

Corollary: $<$ induces an archimedean order on G/B .

So $G/B \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$. \square

Recap: Proof of the Theorem

Assume

- G is amenable
- G acts faithfully on \mathbb{R}
- G is finitely generated

We show $G \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$.

- G has a left-invariant order.
- Ghys: G acts on the space of left-inv't orders.
- Amenability: \exists G -invariant measure.
- Poincaré Recurrence: there is a recurrent order.
- Known: G has a recurrent order $\Rightarrow G \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$.

Open questions

Theorem (Farb-Franks)

G nilpotent, acts on $\mathbb{R} \Rightarrow G$ has C^1 action on \mathbb{R} .

Question

Can every action of a nilp grp on \mathbb{R} be made C^1 ?

Question (Calegari)

Can every action of an amen grp on \mathbb{R} be made C^1 ?

Question (Plante, Farb-Franks, Navas, ...)

Which solvable (or nilpotent or amenable) groups have C^∞ actions on \mathbb{R} ?

Theorem (Reznikov)

Thompson's group on the circle does *not* have Kazhdan's property T

Question (well known)

G acts on $\mathbb{R} \stackrel{?}{\Rightarrow} G$ not Kazhdan (T).

Remark

Navas has a result for differentiable actions on S^1

Theorem

Assume G amenable.

G has a faithful action on \mathbb{R}

$$\Leftrightarrow \text{every f.g. subgroup of } G \text{ maps onto } \mathbb{Z}$$

Question

Which amenable (or solvable) groups have C^\square actions on an n -manifold? ($n > 1$)