

Transitive permutation groups of prime-squared degree

Dave Witte

*Department of Mathematics
Oklahoma State University
Stillwater, OK 74078*

`dwitte@math.okstate.edu`

Main author:

Edward Dobson

*Department of Mathematics and Statistics
Mississippi State University
Mississippi State, MS 39762*

`dobson@math.msstate.edu`

Thm (Burnside). *Let*

- G be a transitive perm grp of degree p ; and
- P be a Sylow p -subgroup of G .

Then either

- 1) $P \triangleleft G$; or
- 2) G is doubly transitive.

This leads a complete classification of permutation groups of degree p .

- 1) $|G|_p = p \Rightarrow P \sim$ regular rep of \mathbb{Z}_p ,
so $G \hookrightarrow N_{S_p}(\mathbb{Z}_p) = \text{Aff}(1, p) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^* \ltimes \mathbb{Z}_p$.

Choice of $G \leftrightarrow$ divisor d of $p - 1$

$$|\text{Aff}(1, p) : G| = d.$$

- 2) Classification of Finite Simple Groups,
 \Rightarrow all doubly transitive groups are known.

Want to do the same for groups of degree p^2 .

Thm. *Let*

- $H \leq S_p$ doubly transitive;
- S be a min'l normal subgroup of H ; and
- $N = N_{S_p}(S)$.

Then S is simple, $S \leq H \leq N$, N/S is cyclic, and, after replacing H by a conjugate, either:

- $S = \mathbb{Z}_p$, $N = A(1, p)$, and $N/S \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p-1}$; or
- $S = A_p$, $N = S_p$, and $N/S \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$; or
- $p = 11$ and $S = H = N = \text{PSL}(2, 11)$; or
- $p = 11$ and $S = H = N = M_{11}$; or
- $p = 23$ and $S = H = N = M_{23}$; or
- $p = \frac{q^d - 1}{q - 1}$ for some $q = r^m$ and d , and
 $S = \text{PSL}(d, q)$, $N = \text{PFL}(d, q)$, $N/S \cong \mathbb{Z}_m$.

Conj. $\exists \infty$ primes of the form $(q^d - 1)/(q - 1)$.
E.g. any Mersenne prime $p = 2^d - 1$.

Thm (Wielandt). *Let $G \leq S_{p^2}$ transitive. Then*

- 1) $P \triangleleft G$; or
- 2) G is doubly transitive; or
- 3) G is imprimitive; or
- 4) G has an imprimitive subgroup of index 2,
and $P \cong \mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p$, for any $P \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$.

Defn. Let G act transitively on Ω .

- $B \subset \Omega$ is a *block* if $1 < |B| < |\Omega|$ and
 $\forall g \in G$, either $Bg = B$ or $Bg \cap B = \emptyset$.
 I.e., $\mathcal{B} = \{Bg \mid g \in G\}$ partitions Ω .
- G is *imprimitive* if \exists block B .

2) Use the classification of dbly transitive grps.

- a) $\mathbb{Z}_p^2 < H \leq \text{Aff}(2, p)$
- b) A_{p^2}, S_{p^2}
- c) $\text{PSL}(d, q) \leq H \leq \text{P}\Gamma\text{L}(d, q)$

a) C. Hering [Huppert III, Rmk. XII.7.5, p. 386]

Analogue of Burnside's Theorem.

Main Thm. $G \leq S_{p^2}$ transitive, $P \in \text{Syl}_p(G) \Rightarrow$

- 1) $P \triangleleft G$; or
- 2) G is doubly transitive; or
- 3) $\exp(P) = p$ and $|P| \in \{p^2, p^p\}$; or
- 4) $|P| = p^{p+1}$.

Prop. $G \leq S_{p^2}$ imprim, P elem abel of order p^2
 $\Rightarrow G$ is equivalent to a subgroup of $S_p \times S_p$.

Defn. Let $H, L \leq S_p$. Then

$$H \wr L = H \rtimes L^p \hookrightarrow S_{p^2},$$

where $(l_1, \dots, l_p)^h = (l_1^{h-1}, \dots, l_p^{h-1})$.

$H \wr L$ acts faithfully on $\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p$ by

$$\begin{aligned} (i, j)^h &= (i^h, j) \\ (i, j)^{(l_1, \dots, l_p)} &= (i, j^{l_i}) \end{aligned}$$

$\{i\} \times \mathbb{Z}_p$ is a block, so $H \wr L$ is imprimitive.

Note that $e \wr L$ fixes each block.

Exer. Any imprimitive subgroup of S_{p^2} is equivalent to a subgroup of $S_p \wr S_p$.

Exer. $\mathbb{Z}_p \wr \mathbb{Z}_p$ is a Sylow p -subgrp of $S_{\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p} \cong S_{p^2}$.

For $0 \leq i \leq p$, $\exists! K_i \leq e_p \wr \mathbb{Z}_p \cong (\mathbb{Z}_p)^p$, s.t.

$$K_i \triangleleft \mathbb{Z}_p \wr \mathbb{Z}_p \text{ and } |K_i| = p^i.$$

E.g., $K_p = e_p \wr \mathbb{Z}_p$ and $K_{i-1} = [\mathbb{Z}_p \wr \mathbb{Z}_p, K_i]$.

Exer. If $P \leq \mathbb{Z}_p \wr \mathbb{Z}_p$, $P \not\leq K_p$, and $|P| = p^i$, then $P \cap K_p = K_{i-1}$.

Fact. $\mathbb{Z}_p \rtimes K_{p-1}$ is the unique transitive subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}_p \wr \mathbb{Z}_p$ with exponent p and order p^p .

$$K_{p-1} = \{(z_1, \dots, z_p) \in (\mathbb{Z}_p)^p \mid \sum_{i=1}^p z_i = 0\}.$$

Fact. For $0 \leq i < p$, $N_{e \wr S_p}(K_i) = \mathbb{Z}_p^* \cdot K_p$.

Prop. $G \leq S_{p^2}$ imprim, $P = \mathbb{Z}_p \rtimes K_{p-1} \Rightarrow \exists H \leq S_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p^*$, s.t. G is equiv to $H \cdot K_{p-1}$.

Eg. Let $H, L \leq S_p$ transitive.

$$G = H \wr L \leq S_{p^2} \text{ is imprim, and } |G|_p = p^{p+1}.$$

Prop. $G \leq S_{p^2}$ imprim, $|G|_p = p^{p+1}$. \exists

- 1) $H, L \leq S_p$ transitive, such that L is simple;
- 2) $K/L^p \leq (N_{S_p}(L)/L)^p$ H -invariant;
- 3) $\phi: H \rightarrow N_{S_p}(L)^p/K$ crossed homomorphism;

such that G is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} & \{(h, v) \in H \rtimes N_{S_p}(L)^p \mid \phi(h) = vK\} \\ & \subset S_p \wr S_p. \end{aligned}$$

Remaining problems.

For $\mathrm{PSL}(d, q) \leq H \leq \mathrm{P}\Gamma\mathrm{L}(d, q)$:

- 1) Find H -invariant subgroups K of $(\mathbb{Z}_{r^k})^p$
(where $q = r^m$). ($k = 1$ done [Bardoe-Sin])
- 2) For each subgrp K ,
calculate $H^1(H, (\mathbb{Z}_{r^k})^p / K)$ and
find an explicit rep of each coho class.

For $H = \mathrm{PSL}(2, 11)$, M_{11} , M_{23} : Problem 2.

Main Thm. *Let $G \leq S_{p^2}$, $P \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$.*

Then either $P \triangleleft G$, or G is doubly transitive, or $|P| = p^{p+1}$, or $\exp(P) = p$ and $|P| \in \{p^2, p^p\}$.

Proof. Assume G is not dbly trans, $|P| \neq p^{p+1}$, and either $\exp(P) = p^2$ or $|P| \notin \{p^2, p^p\}$.

$P \not\cong \mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p$, so Wielandt's Thm $\Rightarrow G$ imprim.

Let \mathcal{B} be a block system for G .

Defn. $\text{Fix}_G(\mathcal{B}) = \{g \in G \mid \forall B \in \mathcal{B}, Bg = B\}$.

Strategy: show $\text{Fix}_G(\mathcal{B})$ and G/\mathcal{B} are solvable.

Lem. G solvable, imprimitive, $|P| \neq p^{p+1}$
 $\Rightarrow P \triangleleft G$.

Lem. G solvable, imprimitive, $|P| \neq p^{p+1}$
 $\Rightarrow P \triangleleft G$.

Proof. We always assume $P \leq \mathbb{Z}_p \wr \mathbb{Z}_p$.

We have $\text{Fix}_P(\mathcal{B}) = K_p \cap P = K_i$ for some i .

$\text{Fix}_G(\mathcal{B})$ solvable $\Rightarrow G \leq N_{S_p \wr S_p}(K_i)$.

Because $N_{S_p \wr S_p}(K_i)$ normalizes $\prod_{B \in \mathcal{B}} K_i|_B = K_p$,

then $G \leq N_{S_p \wr S_p}(K_p) = S_p \wr \text{Aff}(1, p)$.

G/\mathcal{B} solvable $\Rightarrow G \leq \text{Aff}(1, p) \wr \text{Aff}(1, p)$.

Because $p^1 < |P| < p^{p+1}$, we have $0 < i < p$,
 so $N_{e \wr S_p}(K_i) = \mathbb{Z}_p^* \cdot K_p$.

Therefore $G \leq (\text{Aff}(1, p) \times \mathbb{Z}_p^*) \cdot K_p$.

This has a unique Sylow p -subgroup.

Lem. If $|P| \neq p^{p+1}$ and $P \not\cong \mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p$, then $\text{Fix}_G(\mathcal{B})$ is solvable.

Proof. Let $N \leq \text{Fix}_G(\mathcal{B})$ minimal normal in G . Suppose N is not a p -group, so $N = L_1 \times \cdots \times L_m$ is a direct product of nonabelian simple groups.

Defn. $\text{supp}(L_i) = \{ B \in \mathcal{B} \mid L_i|_B \neq e \}$.

$$[L_i, L_j] = e \quad \Rightarrow \quad \text{supp}(L_i) \cap \text{supp}(L_j) = \emptyset.$$

G -action on \mathcal{B} is primitive

$$\Rightarrow |\text{supp}(L_i)| \in \{1, p\};$$

$$\Rightarrow m \in \{1, p\}.$$

$$m = p \Rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}_p)^p \hookrightarrow N \cap P, \text{ so } |G|_p = p^{p+1}. \rightarrow \leftarrow$$

$$m = 1 \Rightarrow \text{Fix}_G(\mathcal{B}) \subset N_{e \wr S_p}(L_1) \cong N_{S_B}(L_1|_B)$$

(because $C_{S_{B'}}(L_1|_{B'}) = e$)

$$\text{so } |\text{Fix}_G(\mathcal{B})|_p = p. \quad \text{Therefore } |P| = p^2.$$

We now know $P \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ (and $m = 1$).

Suppose P is cyclic ($\cong \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$), and $m = 1$.

Let g be any p' -element of $N_{L_1}(P^p)$.

Because $P^p = K_1$, we know that $g \in \mathbb{Z}_p^* K_p$,
so g normalizes $\mathbb{Z}_p \wr \mathbb{Z}_p$.

Therefore, $[P, g]$ is a p -subgroup of $\text{Fix}_G(\mathcal{B})$.

Each of P and g normalizes P^p ,
and P^p is a Sylow p -subgroup of $\text{Fix}_G(\mathcal{B})$,
so $[P, g] \subset P^p$.

Therefore g normalizes P , and centralizes P/P^p .
Because g is a p' -element, then g centralizes P .

Thus, P^p is in the center of its normalizer in L_1 ,
so L_1 has a normal p -complement.

Because L_1 is simple, this is nonsense. $\rightarrow\leftarrow$

Lem. *Assume*

- $\text{Fix}_G(\mathcal{B})$ is solvable; and
- G/\mathcal{B} is not solvable.

Then $|P| \in \{p^2, p^p, p^{p+1}\}$.

Proof. Let $K \in \text{Syl}_p(\text{Fix}_G(\mathcal{B}))$, so $K \triangleleft G$.

Then $G \leq N_{S_p \wr S_p}(K)$, and $K_p \triangleleft N_{S_p \wr S_p}(K)$,
so $G \leq N_{S_p \wr S_p}(K_p) = S_p \wr \text{Aff}(1, p)$.

Because K is invariant under $\mathbb{Z}_p \wr e$, and
the \mathbb{Z}_p -invariant subgroup of order p^i is unique,
we know that K is normalized by $\text{Aff}(1, p) \wr e$.

From the list of doubly transitive groups,
we see that $\text{Aff}(1, p)$ is maximal in S_p .

Therefore $N_{S_p \wr \text{Aff}(1, p)}(K)/\mathcal{B} = S_{\mathcal{B}}$.

Then the following result of modular representation
theory implies that $|K| \in \{1, p, p^{p-1}, p^p\}$.

Prop. *Let $\chi: S_{p-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^*$ be a homomorphism. Nontrivial invariant subspaces of $\text{Ind}_{S_{p-1}}^{S_p} \chi$ have either dimension 1 or codimension 1.*

More generally, coding theorists have calculated the automorphism group of any code admitting $\text{Aff}(d, q)$.

Lem. *Assume*

- $\text{Fix}_G(\mathcal{B})$ has a unique Sylow p -subgroup Q ;
- $\exp(P) = p^2$; and
- $|P| \neq p^{p+1}$

Then $P \triangleleft G$.

Proof. We have

$$\frac{G}{Q} \hookrightarrow \frac{(S_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p^*) \rtimes (\mathbb{Z}_p)^p}{K_{p-1}} \cong S_p \times \text{Aff}(1, p)$$

Let $\phi: G/Q \rightarrow \text{Aff}(1, p)$ (the projection).

WMA ϕ not faithful; else G/\mathcal{B} solvable, so done.

G/\mathcal{B} primitive $\Rightarrow \ker \phi$ transitive $\Rightarrow |\ker \phi|_p = p$;
therefore, the image of ϕ is a p' -group.

Therefore, every p -element of G is in the kernel of ϕ , so $P \subset \mathbb{Z}_p \rtimes K_{p-1}$.

So every element of P has order p .

- M. Bardoe and P. Sin, The permutation modules for $GL(n + 1, \mathbb{F}_q)$ acting on $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ and \mathbb{F}_q^{n+1} , *London Math. Soc.* (to appear).
- P.J. Cameron, Finite Permutation groups and finite simple groups, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **13** 1981, 1-22.
- W. Feit, Some consequences of the classification of finite simple groups, *Proc. Symp. Pure Math.* 37 (1980) 175–181. B. Cooperstein and G. Mason, eds, *The Santa Cruz Conference on Finite Groups*, Amer. Math. Soc., 1980.
- W. C. Huffman, Codes and Groups, in V.S. Pless and W.C. Huffman, eds., *Handbook of Coding Theory*, vol. 2, Elsevier, 1998, pp. 1345–1440.
- A. S. Kleshchev and A. A. Premet, On second degree cohomology of symmetric and alternating groups, *Comm. Alg.* 21(2) (1993) 583–600.
- B. Mortimer, The modular permutation representations of the known doubly transitive groups, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) 41 (1980) 1–20.
- H. Wielandt, *Finite Permutation Groups*, Academic Press, New York, 1964.
- H. Wielandt, Permutation groups through invariant relations and invariant functions, in: B. Huppert and H. Schneider, eds., *Mathematische Werke = Mathematical Works / Helmut Wielandt*, vol. 1, de Gruyter, Berlin, 1994, pp. 237–296. QA3.W520.1994.V1 [Thm. 16.2, 16.3]