

# Arithmetic subgroups whose representations all map into $GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$

Dave Witte Morris

University of Lethbridge, Alberta, Canada  
<http://people.uleth.ca/~dave.morris>  
 Dave.Morris@uleth.ca

Suppose  $\Gamma$  is an arithmetic subgroup of a semisimple Lie group  $G$ . For any finite-dimensional representation  $\rho: G \rightarrow GL_n(\mathbb{R})$ , a classical paper of J. Tits determines whether  $\rho(\Gamma)$  is conjugate to a subgroup of  $GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ . Combining this with a well-known surjectivity result in Galois cohomology provides a short proof of the known fact that every  $G$  has an arithmetic subgroup  $\Gamma$ , such that the containment is true for every representation  $\rho$ . We will not assume the audience is acquainted with Galois cohomology or the theorem of Tits.

$G =$  simple Lie grp =  $SL_k(\mathbb{R})$  or  $SO(k, 1)^\circ$  (conn, linear, noncompact)  
 $\Gamma =$  arithmetic subgroup  
 $(\sim) \exists n, \exists \rho: G \hookrightarrow GL_n(\mathbb{R}), \rho(\Gamma) \subseteq GL_n(\mathbb{Z}) \cap \rho(G)$   
 $\Leftrightarrow \exists n, \exists \rho: G \rightarrow GL_n(\mathbb{R}), \rho(\Gamma) \subseteq GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ .

## Definition

$\Gamma$  **universally arithmetic**  $\Leftrightarrow \forall n, \forall \rho: G \rightarrow GL_n(\mathbb{R}), \exists M \in GL_n(\mathbb{R}), M^{-1} \rho(\Gamma) M \subseteq GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$  (and  $\Gamma$  is arithmetic).

## Proposition (Morris [2004])

Every  $G$  has a universally arithmetic subgroup  $\Gamma$ .

- 1 My original proof was tedious (and explicit).
- 2 Better pf (conceptual) by Prasad-Rapinchuk [2006].
- 3 Today: idea in another proof (direct, natural) [2015].

**Defn.**  $\Gamma$  universally arith  $\Leftrightarrow \forall n, \forall \rho: G \rightarrow GL_n(\mathbb{R}), \exists M \in GL_n(\mathbb{R}), M^{-1} \rho(\Gamma) M \subseteq GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$  (and  $\Gamma$  is arithmetic).

**Eg.**  $G = SL_k(\mathbb{R}) \Rightarrow SL_k(\mathbb{Z})$  is univ arith. ( $\mathbb{Q}$ -split  $\Rightarrow$  univ arith)

**Converse:**  $SL_k(\mathbb{Z})$  is the only one up to commensurability.

## Proof.

$\rho: G \xrightarrow{\cong} SL_k(\mathbb{R})$ .  
 $\Gamma$  is univ arith  
 $\Rightarrow M^{-1} \rho(\Gamma) M \subseteq SL_k(\mathbb{Z})$   
 $\Rightarrow M^{-1} \Gamma M \subseteq SL_k(\mathbb{Z})$ . □

## Example

$G = SO(k, 1)$  and  $k$  even  $\Rightarrow \Gamma$  is univ arith.

**Defn.**  $\Gamma$  universally arith  $\Leftrightarrow \forall \rho: G \rightarrow GL_n(\mathbb{R}), \exists M \in GL_n(\mathbb{R}), M^{-1} \rho(\Gamma) M \subseteq GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$  (and  $\Gamma$  is arithmetic).

**Eg.**  $G = SO(k, 1)$  and  $k$  even  $\Rightarrow \Gamma$  is univ arith.

**Proof.**  $k$  even  $\Rightarrow$

- 1  $Z(SO(k, 1)_{\mathbb{C}})$  is trivial,
- 2  $SO(k, 1)_{\mathbb{C}}$  has no outer automorphisms. □

There are two obstructions to  $\Gamma$  being univ arith:

- 1 a cohomology class in  $H^2(\text{Gal}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q}); Z(G_{\mathbb{C}}))$ ,
- 2 an **outer** automorphism of  $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ .

**Defn.**  $G$   **$\mathbb{C}$ -universal**  $\Leftrightarrow \forall n, \forall \rho: G \rightarrow GL_n(\mathbb{C}), \exists M \in GL_n(\mathbb{C}), M^{-1} \rho(G) M \subseteq GL_n(\mathbb{R})$ .

**Defn.**  $G$   **$\mathbb{C}$ -universal**  $\Leftrightarrow \forall n, \forall \rho: G \rightarrow GL_n(\mathbb{C}), \exists M \in GL_n(\mathbb{C}), M^{-1} \rho(G) M \subseteq GL_n(\mathbb{R})$ .

Assume  $\rho: G \rightarrow GL_n(\mathbb{C})$  **irred.**  $\rho: G_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ .

If  $\rho(G) \subseteq GL_n(\mathbb{R})$ , then  $\overline{\rho(g)} = \rho(\overline{g})$ .

In general:  $\overline{\rho(g)} = \rho(\varphi(\overline{g}))$ ,  $\exists \varphi \in \text{Aut}(G_{\mathbb{C}})$   
 $\Rightarrow \overline{\rho(g)} = \rho(\epsilon^{-1} g \epsilon) = \rho(\epsilon)^{-1} \rho(g) \rho(\epsilon)$  if  $\nexists$  outer aut.

$M^{-1} \rho(g) M \stackrel{?}{=} \overline{M^{-1} \rho(g) M} = \overline{M}^{-1} \rho(\epsilon)^{-1} \rho(g) \rho(\epsilon) \overline{M}$ .  
 $\rho(\epsilon) \stackrel{?}{=} M \overline{M}^{-1}$ ,  $\exists M \in GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ .

**Obstruction:** need  $\rho(\epsilon) \overline{\rho(\epsilon)} = I$ . ( $\Leftrightarrow$ )

**Cor.**  $G$  is  $\mathbb{C}$ -universal if  $\epsilon \overline{\epsilon} = e$ .

**Exer.**  $\epsilon \overline{\epsilon} \in Z(G_{\mathbb{C}})$ . (because  $\overline{\rho(g)} = \rho(g)$ )

## Abstract reformulation

Galois group  $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R})$  acts on  $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ :

$H^1(\text{Gal}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}); \underline{G}_{\mathbb{C}}) = \{ \epsilon \in \underline{G}_{\mathbb{C}} \mid \epsilon \overline{\epsilon} = e \} / \sim \quad (\eta \overline{\eta}^{-1} \sim e)$   
 $= \{ \epsilon \in G_{\mathbb{C}} \mid \epsilon \overline{\epsilon} \in Z(G_{\mathbb{C}}) \} / \sim$

Choice of  $[\epsilon] \mapsto$  choice of  $G$  in  $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ .

E.g.,  $H^1(\text{Gal}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}); \text{Aut } SO(5, \mathbb{C})) \rightarrow \{SO(5), SO(4, 1), SO(3, 2)\}$ .

Short exact sequence  $e \rightarrow Z(G_{\mathbb{C}}) \rightarrow G_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \underline{G}_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow e$   
 yields  $H^1(\text{Gal}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}); \underline{G}_{\mathbb{C}}) \rightarrow H^2(\text{Gal}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}); Z(G_{\mathbb{C}}))$ .  
 $[\epsilon] \mapsto \epsilon \overline{\epsilon} =: \tau \in Z(G_{\mathbb{C}})$

$H^2(\text{Gal}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}); Z(G_{\mathbb{C}})) = \{ \tau \in Z(G_{\mathbb{C}}) \mid \overline{\tau} = \tau \} / \{z\mathbb{Z}\}$

$G \rightsquigarrow \tau \in Z(G)$  (cohomology class in  $H^2$ )

$G \rightsquigarrow \tau \in Z(G)$  (cohomology class in  $H^2$ )

Let  $\rho: G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$  irreducible.

Schur's Lemma:  $\tau \in Z(G) \Rightarrow \rho(\tau)$  is a scalar.  
 $\rho(\tau)$  is a root of unity, and  $\bar{\tau} = \tau$ , so  $\rho(\tau) = \pm 1$ .

### Proposition (Tits)

Assume  $G_{\mathbb{C}}$  has no outer auts. ( $G$  is an inner form)

- $\rho(\tau) = 1 \Leftrightarrow M^{-1}\rho(G)M \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ .
- $\rho(\tau) = -1 \Leftrightarrow M^{-1}\rho(G)M \not\subseteq \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ .

**Cor.**  $G$  is  $\mathbb{C}$ -universal (i.e.,  $\forall \rho, \exists M$ )

$\Leftrightarrow \rho(\tau) = 1$  for all  $\rho \Leftrightarrow \tau = e$ .

$\tau$  has been calculated for every  $G$ .

E.g.,  $\mathrm{Spin}(k, 1)$  is  $\mathbb{C}$ -univ iff  $k \equiv 0, 1, 2 \pmod{8}$ .

$G \rightsquigarrow \tau \in Z(G)$  (cohomology class in  $H^2$ )

### Same idea

Arith subgroup  $\Gamma \rightsquigarrow \tau_{\mathbb{Q}} \in H^2(\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q}); Z(G_{\mathbb{C}}))$   
 $M^{-1}\rho(\Gamma)M \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z}) \Leftrightarrow \rho_*\tau_{\mathbb{Q}}$  trivial  
in  $H^2(\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q}); \mu_n)$ .

### Proof that $G$ has universally arith subgroup.

$G \rightsquigarrow \epsilon \in H^1(\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}); G_{\mathbb{C}}) \rightsquigarrow \tau = \epsilon\bar{\epsilon} \in Z(G_{\mathbb{C}})$ .  
Note that  $\epsilon$  lifts to  $\tilde{\epsilon} \in H^1(\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}); G_{\mathbb{C}}/\langle \tau \rangle)$ .

**Lem.**  $\tilde{\epsilon}$  lifts to  $\tilde{\epsilon}_{\mathbb{Q}} \in H^1(\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}[i]/\mathbb{Q}); G_{\mathbb{Q}[i]}/\langle \tau \rangle)$ .

$\epsilon_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightsquigarrow$  arithmetic subgroup  $\Gamma$  of  $G$  with  $\tau_{\mathbb{Q}} \in \langle \tau \rangle$ .

If  $\rho_*\tau$  is trivial in  $H^2(\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}); \mu_n)$ ,

then  $\tau_{\mathbb{Q}} \in \langle \tau \rangle \subseteq \ker \rho$ ,

so  $\rho_*\tau_{\mathbb{Q}}$  is trivial in  $H^2(\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q}); \mu_n)$ .  $\square$

## Real representations

Irred reps of  $G$  over  $\mathbb{C}$  are well known. ("highest weight")

Let  $W =$  vector space over  $\mathbb{C}$ ,

$\rho_{\mathbb{C}}: G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(W) \cong \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$  irreducible.

Thinking of  $W$  as a vector space over  $\mathbb{R}$  yields

$\rho: G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(W_{\mathbb{R}}) \cong \mathrm{GL}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$ .

**Exer.**  $W_{\mathbb{R}}$  is irred or  $W_{\mathbb{R}} = V \oplus V$  (2 copies of an irred)

### Proposition

This provides a one-to-one correspondence  
 $\{\text{irred reps over } \mathbb{C}\} / \sim \leftrightarrow \{\text{irred reps over } \mathbb{R}\}$   
where  $V \sim \bar{V}$  (complex conjugate).

### Lemma (Schur's Lemma)

$\rho: G \rightarrow \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{R}}(V) \cong \mathrm{Mat}_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R})$  irreducible.

$C = \mathrm{End}_G(\mathbb{R}^n)$

$= \{ T \in \mathrm{Mat}_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R}) \mid T\rho(g) = \rho(g)T, \forall g \}$

$\Rightarrow C$  is a division algebra (every nonzero elt has inverse)

$\Rightarrow C = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \text{ or } \mathbb{H}$ .

### Exercise

$\mathrm{End}_G(V \otimes \mathbb{C}) \cong \mathrm{End}_G(V) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ .

### Corollary

$V \otimes \mathbb{C} =$

1. irreducible if  $C = \mathbb{R}$  ( $\rho(\tau) = 1$ )
2.  $W \oplus W$  if  $C = \mathbb{H}$  ( $\rho(\tau) = -1$ )
3.  $W \oplus \bar{W}$  (not  $\cong$ ) if  $C = \mathbb{C}$  (outer automorphism)

### A list of references is in the bibliography of:

D. W. Morris:

A cohomological proof that real representations of semisimple Lie algebras have  $\mathbb{Q}$ -forms,  
*SIGMA (Symmetry Integrability Geom. Meth. Appl.)*  
11 (2015) 034, 12 pages. MR 3339733,  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.3842/SIGMA.2015.034>

See especially:

J. Tits: Représentations linéaires irréductibles d'un groupe réductif sur un corps quelconque,  
*J. Reine Angew. Math.* 247 (1971) 196–220.  
MR 0277536,  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1515/crll.1971.247.196>

### Advertisement

Download a free PDF file of the new 492-page book

#### Introduction to Arithmetic Groups

by Dave Witte Morris

<http://arxiv.org/src/math/0106063/anc/>

<http://deductivepress.ca>

amazon.com and barnesandnoble.com  
are selling printed copies

\$10 (paperback) or \$17 (hardcover)

ISBN 978-0-9865716-0-2 (paperback)

ISBN 978-0-9865716-1-9 (hardcover)

### Advertisement