Congruence Subgroup Property and bounded generation Lecture III. Bounded generation

Dave Witte Morris

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science
University of Lethbridge
Lethbridge, AB T1K 3M4
Dave.Morris@uleth.ca

Abstract

We present the main ideas of a nice proof (due to D. Carter, G. Keller, and E. Paige) that every matrix in $SL(3,\mathbb{Z})$ is a product of a bounded number of elementary matrices. The two main ingredients are the Compactness Theorem of first-order logic and calculations of Mennicke symbols. (These symbols were developed in the 1960s in order to prove the Congruence Subgroup Property.) Similar methods apply to SL(2,A) if $A=\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$ (or any other ring of integers with infinitely many units).

Thm (Carter-Keller). $SL(3,\mathbb{Z})$ is boundedly generated by elementary matrices.

Eg. Elementary matrices:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 25 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -8 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 16 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Recall. Every invertible matrix can be reduced to Id by elementary column operations.

Prop. $T \in SL(3,\mathbb{Z}) \Rightarrow T \leadsto Id by \mathbb{Z}$ column operations.

Prop. $T \in SL(3, \mathbb{Z}) \Rightarrow T \leadsto Id by \mathbb{Z} column operations.$

Eg.
$$\begin{bmatrix} 13 & 5 \\ 31 & 12 \end{bmatrix}$$
 \sim $\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 5 \\ 7 & 12 \end{bmatrix}$ \sim $\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 2 \\ 7 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$ \sim $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$ \sim $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ \sim $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$.

Cor. $T \in SL(3,\mathbb{Z}) \Rightarrow T = product of elementary mats.$ I.e., $SL(3,\mathbb{Z})$ is generated by elementary matrices.

Thm (Carter-Keller). $T = prod \ of \ 48 \ elem \ mats$. So $SL(3, \mathbb{Z})$ is *boundedly* generated by elem mats.

Remark. No such bound exists for $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$: $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ **not** boundedly generated by elem mats.

Rem. Γ = any group.

Γ has bounded generation iff ∃ finite $S \subset \Gamma$, integer r, s.t. ∀ $\gamma \in \Gamma$, $\gamma = s_1^{k_1} s_2^{k_2} \cdots s_r^{k_r}$.

I.e., $\Gamma = X_1 X_2 \cdots X_r$ with X_i cyclic groups.

Thm (C-K). $\Gamma = SL(3, \mathbb{Z})$ bddly gen'd by elem mats.

Consequences.

- Γ has the *Congruence Subgroup Property*[Lubotzky, Platonov-Rapinchuk] *Conjecture.* converse.
- Γ is *superrigid* (< ∞ irred reps of each dim) [Rapinchuk]
- $SL(3,\mathbb{Z})$ has *Kazhdan's property T* (with explicit ϵ) *Conjecture.* $SL(3,\mathbb{Z}[x])$ has property T. [Shalom]
- Γ has **no** action on $\mathbb R$ (nontriv, or-pres). [Lifschitz-M]

Thm (Liehl). $SL(2, \mathbb{Z}[1/p])$ *bddly gen'd by elem mats.* I.e., $T \rightsquigarrow Id$ by $\mathbb{Z}[1/p]$ col ops, # steps is bdd.

Easy proof. Assume Artin's Conjecture.

Eg. 2 is a primitive root modulo 13:
$$\{2^k\} = \{1, 2, 4, 8, 3, 6, 12, 11, 9, 5, 10, 7\}.$$
 Complete set of residues.

Conj (Artin). $\forall r \neq \pm 1$, perfect square, $\exists \infty$ primes q, s.t. r is prim root modulo q. Assume $\exists q$ in every arith progression $\{a + kb\}$.

Thm (Liehl). $SL(2, \mathbb{Z}[1/p])$ *bddly gen'd by elem mats.* I.e., $T \rightsquigarrow Id$ by $\mathbb{Z}[1/p]$ col ops, # steps is bdd.

How to prove bounded generation [C-K-P].

- Compactness Thm (1st-order logic) / ultraproduct
- Mennicke symbols (Algebraic *K*-Theory)

Prop. $SL(3,\mathbb{Z})$ boundedly generated by elem mats \Leftrightarrow SL(3, \mathbb{Z}^{∞}) generated by elem mats.

Proof. (\Leftarrow) Contrapos: $\exists g_r$, not prod of r elem mats. In $SL(3,\mathbb{Z})^{\infty}$, element $(g_r)_{r=1}^{\infty}$ not prod of elem mats. So elem mats do not generate $SL(3,\mathbb{Z})^{\infty} \cong SL(3,\mathbb{Z}^{\infty})$.

 \mathbb{Z}^{∞} is a bad ring (not integral domain): use $\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z}^{\infty}/\mathfrak{p}$, where $p = \text{prime ideal containing } \{e_1, e_2, ...\}$ (and $(x_k) \in \mathfrak{p} \Rightarrow \text{some } x_k \text{ is } 0$). (* $\mathbb{Z} = \text{ultraprod}$) **Prop.** $SL(3,\mathbb{Z})$ boundedly generated by elem mats \Leftrightarrow SL(3, *\mathbb{Z}) \doteq \(\text{elem mats} \) \(\text{up to finite index} \).

Thm (Carter-Keller). $SL(3, \mathbb{Z})$ *bdd gen by elems.*

Prove: \langle elem mats \rangle finite index in SL(3, * \mathbb{Z}). Let $C = C_{\mathbb{Z}} = SL(3, \mathbb{Z}) / \langle \text{ elem mats} \rangle$. (finite??)

Thm. A commutative $\Rightarrow \langle \text{elem mats} \rangle \triangleleft SL(3, A)$. In fact, *C* is abelian. So *C* is a group.

Step 1. Exponent of C divides 24 (i.e., $x^{24} = e$).

Step 2. C cyclic. (Any 2 elts are in same cyclic subgrp.)

Recall $C = SL(3, *\mathbb{Z})/\langle \text{ elem mats } \rangle$.

Let $W = W_{\mathbb{Z}} = \{ (a, b) \in {}^*\mathbb{Z}^2 \mid a, b \text{ rel prime} \}$ = { 1st rows of elements of $SL(2, *\mathbb{Z})$ }.

Define
$$\begin{bmatrix} \\ \end{bmatrix}$$
: $W \to C$ by $\begin{bmatrix} \\ \\ \\ a \end{bmatrix} \equiv \begin{bmatrix} a & b & 0 \\ * & * & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$.

- ullet is well def'd (easy) and onto ("stable range").
- (MS1) $\begin{bmatrix} b + ta \\ a \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b \\ a \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b \\ a + tb \end{bmatrix}$. (MS2a) $\begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ a \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} b_2 \\ a \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b_1b_2 \\ a \end{bmatrix}$ (n
- (need $n \ge 3$).

Step 2. Any 2 elts of *C* are in same cyclic subgrp.

Given
$$\begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ a_1 \end{bmatrix}$$
, $\begin{bmatrix} b_2 \\ a_2 \end{bmatrix} \in C$ (nontrivial).

Dirichlet:
$$\exists$$
 large prime $p \equiv b_1 \pmod{a_1}$. $\begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ a_1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} p \\ a_1 \end{bmatrix}$; we may assume $b_1 = p$ prime.

In fact, wma all a_i, b_i are large primes $(b_1 \neq b_2)$.

CRT: $\exists q$, s.t. $q \equiv a_i \pmod{b_i}$; wma $a_1 = q = a_2$.

$$(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^{\times} \text{ cyclic} \Rightarrow \exists b, e_i, \text{ s.t. } b_i \equiv b^{e_i} \pmod{q}.$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} b_i \\ a_i \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b_i \\ q \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b^{e_i} \\ q \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b \\ q \end{bmatrix}^{e_i} \in \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} b \\ q \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle.$$

 $(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$ cyclic $\Rightarrow \exists b, e_i, \text{ s.t. } b_i \equiv b^{e_i} \pmod{q}$.

$$\begin{bmatrix} b_i \\ a_i \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b_i \\ q \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b^{e_i} \\ q \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b \\ q \end{bmatrix}^{e_i} \in \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} b \\ q \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle.$$

Note: Since $C^{24} = e$, only need $(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$ cyclic modulo 24th powers.

This follows from the componentwise calculation:

$$(b_i - z^{24})(b_i - bz^{24})(b_i - b^2z^{24})\cdots(b_i - b^{23}z^{24})$$
 is 0 in every coordinate.

So it is 0.

Since* \mathbb{Z} is integral domain, then $b_i = b^{e_i} z^{24}$.

Step 1. Exponent of C divides 24 (i.e., $x^{24} = e$).

Idea. Given $\begin{bmatrix} b \\ a \end{bmatrix}$, choose $a_1, a_2 \equiv a \pmod{b}$, such that $gcd(\phi(a_1), \phi(a_2)) \mid 6$.

$$\begin{bmatrix} b \\ a \end{bmatrix}^{6} = \begin{bmatrix} b \\ a \end{bmatrix}^{m_{1}\phi(a_{1})} \begin{bmatrix} b \\ a \end{bmatrix}^{m_{2}\phi(a_{2})}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} b \\ a_{1} \end{bmatrix}^{m_{1}\phi(a_{1})} \begin{bmatrix} b \\ a_{2} \end{bmatrix}^{m_{2}\phi(a_{2})}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} b^{\phi(a_{1})} \\ a_{1} \end{bmatrix}^{m_{1}} \begin{bmatrix} b^{\phi(a_{2})} \\ a_{2} \end{bmatrix}^{m_{2}}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ a_{1} \end{bmatrix}^{m_{1}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ a_{2} \end{bmatrix}^{m_{2}}$$

$$= e^{m_{1}} e^{m_{2}}$$

$$= e. \square$$

H. Bass, Algebraic K-theory, Benjamin, New York, 1968.

H. Bass, J. Milnor, and J.-P. Serre, Solution of the Congruence Subgroup Problem for SL_n $(n \ge 3)$ and Sp_{2n} $(n \ge 2)$, Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ. Math. 33 (1967), 59–137.

D. Carter and G. Keller, Bounded elementary generation of $SL_n(\mathcal{O})$, Amer. J. Math. 105 (1983), 673-687.

D. Carter and G. Keller, Elementary expressions for unimodular matrices, Comm. Algebra 12 (1984), 379-389.

D. Carter, G. Keller, and E. Paige: Bounded expressions in SL(n, A), (unpublished).

I. V. Erovenko and A. Rapinchuk, Bounded generation of Sarithmetic subgroups of isotropic orthogonal groups over number fields, *J. Number Theory* 119 (2006), no. 1, 28–48.

B. Liehl: Beschrankte Wortlange in SL₂. Math. Z. 186 (1984), no. 4, 509-524.

L. Lifschitz and D. W. Morris: Bounded generation and lattices that cannot act on the line, *Pure Appl. Math. Q.* 4 (2008) 99–126. http://pamq.henu.edu.cn/downloadarticle.jsp?id=217 http://arxiv.org/abs/math/0604612

A. Lubotzky: Subgroup growth and congruence subgroups, Invent. Math. 119 (1995), no. 2, 267-295.

D. W. Morris: Bounded generation in SL(n, A) (after D. Carter, G. Keller, and E. Paige) New York J. Math. 13 (2007) 383-421. http://arxiv.org/abs/math/0503083

V. P. Platonov and A. S. Rapinchuk: Abstract characterizations of arithmetic groups with the congruence property, Soviet Math. Dokl. 44 (1992), no. 1, 342-347.

A. S. Rapinchuk: Representations of groups of finite width, Soviet Math. Dokl. 42 (1991), no. 3, 816-820.

Y. Shalom: The algebraization of Kazhdan's property (T), in: International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. II. Eur. Math. Soc., Zurich, 2006, pp. 1283–1310. http://www.icm2006.org/ proceedings/Vol_II/contents/ICM_Vol_2_60.pdf

O.I. Tavgen, Bounded generation of Chevalley groups over rings of algebraic S-integers, Math. USSR-Izv. 36 (1991), no. 1, 101-128.

O.I. Tavgen, Finite width of arithmetic subgroups of Chevalley groups of rank \geq 2, *Soviet Math. Dokl.* 41 (1990), no. 1, 136–140.